USDC SDNY	MIL
DOCUMENT	
ELECTRONIC	ALLY FILED
DOC #:	0100100
DATE FILED:	S/77/0F

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROBERT CORWIN on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

v

BERND R. SEIZINGER, MARTINE OBORGE, MARCHL ROSENCZWEIG, and GPC BIOTECH AG,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 07-CV-6728 (DC)

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

WHEREAS, plaintiff Robert Corwin has filed the captioned putative class action;
WHEREAS, plaintiff in an action entitled Temesfol v. GPC.Biotech AG, et al.,
No. 07-ov-7061 (DC) (collectively with the Corwin action, the "Actions"), also has filed a
putative class action arising out of the same alleged facts and chromataneous as those alleged by
Corwin in this action;

WHEREAS, it is enticipated that plaintiffs in the Actions will move, paramet to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, for the appointment of lead plaintiff and the selection of lead plaintiff's counsel; and

WHERBAS, it is anticipated that following the appointment of lead plaintiff and selection of lead plaintiff's counsel, an amended complaint will be filed relating to the Actions;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among counsel for the plaintiff and counsel for Defendants GPC Biotech AG, Bernd R. Seizingez, Martine George, and Marcel Rosenezweig (collectively, the "Defendants"), that:

 The undersigned atterneys for the Defendants agree to accept service of process on behalf of all Defendants, provided, however, that such service shall not constitute a waiver of any defenses that may be raised by motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) -(3) and (6) - (7).

- 2. The time of the Defendants to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the complaint filed in this action is adjourned to a date to be not either by order of the Court or further stipulation of the parties.
- Within ton days following appointment of the lead plaintiff and 3. designation of lead counsel by the Court, the parties shall submit to the Court for its approval a stipulation regarding the schedule for filing an amended compleint and Defendants' response to such amended complaint, provided, however, that Defendents' response shall not be due to be served in fewer than 60 days following service of the amended complaint,

Deted: New York, New York August 20, 2007

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

By:

James P. Talien

Tai H. Park

John C. Scalzo

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022-6069

Tel: (212) \$48-4000

Fax: (212) 848-7179

Attorneys for Defendants Bernd R. Seisinger. Martine George, Marcel Rosenceweig, and

GPC Biotech AG

ABBEY SPANIER RODD & ABRAMS.

LLP.

By:

Nancy Mabablian

212 Rast 39th Street

New York, New York 10016

Tel: (212) 889-3700

Fax: (312) 684-5191

PASKOWITZ & ASSOCIATES

By: Newson DO Laurence D. Pm

60 Bast 42nd Street, 46th Floor

New York, New York 10016

Tel: (212) 685-0969 Fax: (212) 685-2306

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Corwin

SO ORDERED this 29 day of August, 2007

Hon. Downy Chin, U.S.D.J.